

Lie Groups Associated to Hölder-Continuous Functions

Rafael Dahmen

August 26, 2009

Abstract

We proof some basic tools about spaces of Hölder-continuous functions between (in general infinite dimensional) Banach spaces and use them to construct new examples of infinite dimensional (LB)-Lie groups, following the strategy of [2].

Contents

Introduction	1
1 Fréchet-Differentiable Functions	2
1.1 Definition and easy Results	2
1.2 Polynomials	4
2 Spaces of Hölder-Continuous Functions	5
2.1 Inclusion Mappings	6
2.2 Completeness of the Hölder-Spaces	9
2.3 Products of Hölder-Continuous Functions	11
2.4 Directed Unions of Hölder-Spaces	13
3 Lie groups associated to Hölder-continuous functions	14
References	16

Introduction

In [2] (Theorem C) I gave a sufficient criterion for the union of an ascending sequence of Banach-Lie groups to be an (LB)-Lie group. The purpose of this paper is to give an example of such an ascending sequence using Banach spaces of Hölder-continuous functions. In Section 1 we start by stating some facts about differential calculus in infinite dimensional spaces. In Section 2 we will define the concept of Hölder-continuous functions between Banach spaces and we will introduce the spaces $BC^{k,s}(\Omega, Z)$ and show some properties of them.

This will be used in Section 3 to construct Banach-Lie groups associated to these spaces. Finally, we will be able to use Theorem C of [2] to construct (LB)-Lie groups.

1 Fréchet-Differentiable Functions

Let $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$.

1.1 Definition and easy Results

We begin with two different notions of differentiability in infinite dimensional vector spaces: (Details can be found in [3] and in [4])

Definition 1.1 (C^k in the sense of Michal-Bastiani). Let X and Z be locally convex topological $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces and let Ω be an open nonempty subset of X .

- (i) A mapping $\gamma: \Omega \longrightarrow Z$ is called C^1 , if for each $(x, v) \in \Omega \times X$ the directional derivative

$$d\gamma(x, v) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma(x + tv) - \gamma(x)}{t}$$

exists and if the map

$$d\gamma: \Omega \times X \longrightarrow Z$$

is continuous.

- (ii) Inductively, we say that $\gamma: \Omega \longrightarrow Z$ is of class C^k if it is C^1 and if $d\gamma: \Omega \times X \longrightarrow Z$ is C^{k-1} . We call γ *smooth* or C^∞ if it is C^k for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is an easy consequence of this definition that if γ is C^1 and $x \in \Omega$, then the following is a continuous linear map:

$$\gamma'(x) := d\gamma(x, \cdot): X \longrightarrow Z : v \mapsto d\gamma(x, v).$$

The following definition of differentiability is more well-known but has the disadvantage that it only works in normed spaces:

Definition 1.2 (FC^k-maps). Let X and Z be normed spaces over $\mathbb{K}$ and let Ω be an open subset of X .

- (i) A mapping $\gamma: \Omega \longrightarrow Z$ is called *Fréchet-differentiable* at the point $x \in X$ if there exists a $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Z)$ such that

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma(x + tv) - \gamma(x) - Tv}{\|v\|_X} = 0$$

(in this case, this map T is equal to $\gamma'(x) = d\gamma(x, \cdot)$ as defined in Definition 1.1).

- (ii) The map γ is called FC^1 if it is everywhere Fréchet-differentiable and the map

$$\gamma' : \Omega \longrightarrow \left(\mathcal{L}(X, Z), \|\cdot\|_{\text{op}} \right) : x \mapsto \gamma'(x) = d\gamma(x, \cdot)$$

is continuous.

- (iii) Inductively, we say that $\gamma : \Omega \longrightarrow Z$ is of class FC^k if it is FC^1 and if $\gamma' : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Z)$ is C^{k-1} . We will use the notation $\gamma^{(1)} := \gamma'$ and

$$\gamma^{(k)}(x)(v_1, \dots, v_k) := \left(\gamma^{(k-1)} \right)'(x)(v_1)(v_2, \dots, v_k).$$

Note that each $\gamma^{(k)}(x) : X^k \longrightarrow Z$ is a symmetric k -linear map.

These two notions are connected via the following

Lemma 1.3 (Criterion of Fréchet-Differentiability). *Let X, Z be normed spaces over $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, $\Omega \subseteq X$ open. Then $\gamma : \Omega \longrightarrow Z$ is FC^1 if and only if it is C^1 and the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma' : \Omega &\longrightarrow \left(\mathcal{L}(X, Z), \|\cdot\|_{\text{op}} \right) \\ x &\longmapsto \left(v \mapsto \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma(x+tv) - \gamma(x)}{t} \right) \end{aligned}$$

is continuous.

Proof. If γ is FC^1 , it is clearly C^1 and γ' is continuous. Conversely, we assume that γ is C^1 and that γ' is continuous. We will show that γ is Fréchet-differentiable at each point. Therefore, let $x \in \Omega$ be fixed and let v so small that the interval $[x, x+v] := \{x+tv : t \in [0, 1]\}$ lies in Ω . Then we define the curve

$$\eta_v : [0, 1] \longrightarrow Z : t \mapsto \gamma(x+tv).$$

Since γ is C^1 , the curve η_v is also C^1 with

$$\eta'_v(t) = d\gamma(x+tv).v = \gamma'(x+tv).v.$$

Now, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\gamma(x+v) - \gamma(x) - \gamma'(x).v}{\|v\|_X} \right\|_Z &= \frac{1}{\|v\|_X} \left\| \eta_v(1) - \eta_v(0) - \gamma'(x).v \right\|_Z \\ &= \frac{1}{\|v\|_X} \left\| \int_0^1 \eta'_v(t) dt - \gamma'(x).v \right\|_Z \\ &= \frac{1}{\|v\|_X} \left\| \int_0^1 (\gamma'(x+tv).v - \gamma'(x).v) dt \right\|_Z \\ &= \frac{1}{\|v\|_X} \int_0^1 \left\| (\gamma'(x+tv) - \gamma'(x)).v \right\|_Z dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left\| \gamma'(x+tv) - \gamma'(x) \right\|_{\text{op}} dt \end{aligned}$$

The map $\gamma' : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Z)$ is continuous by assumption. Therefore, the integrand on the right hand side of this inequality is continuous in t and in v . So, the theorem of parameter dependend integrals yields that the integral tends to 0, when v converges to 0. This concludes the proof. $\square$

1.2 Polynomials

Proposition 1.4 (Interpolation of Polynomials). *Let X and Z be normed spaces over $\mathbb{K}$ and let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be given.*

Denote by $\text{Pow}^j(\text{B}_1^X(0), Z)$ the vector space of all j -homogeneous polynomials from X to Z , restricted to $\text{B}_1^X(0)$ regarded as a subspace of the normed space

$$(\text{BC}(\text{B}_1^X(0), Z), \|\cdot\|_\infty).$$

Denote by $\text{Pol}^k(\text{B}_1^X(0), Z)$ the vector space of polynomials of maximal degree k , which is generated by $(\text{Pow}^j(\text{B}_1^X(0), Z))_{j \leq k}$.

Then the map

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=0}^k (\text{Pow}^j(\text{B}_1^X(0), Z), \|\cdot\|_\infty) &\longrightarrow (\text{Pol}^k(\text{B}_1^X(0), Z), \|\cdot\|_\infty) \\ (\gamma_j)_j &\longmapsto \sum_{j=0}^k \gamma_j \end{aligned}$$

is a topological isomorphism.

Proof. The map is clearly bijective and continuous. It remains to show that for every $j_0 \leq k$ the coefficient map

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Pol}^k(\text{B}_1^X(0), Z), \|\cdot\|_\infty) &\longrightarrow (\text{Pow}^{j_0}(\text{B}_1^X(0), Z), \|\cdot\|_\infty) \\ \gamma = \sum_{j=0}^k \gamma_j &\longmapsto \gamma_{j_0} \end{aligned}$$

is continuous.

We fix a subset $F \subseteq]0, 1[$ with $k+1$ elements. For every point $\mu \in F$ we define the corresponding Lagrange polynomial:

$$\Lambda_\mu(t) := \prod_{\substack{\nu \in F \\ \nu \neq \mu}} \frac{t - \nu}{\mu - \nu} = \sum_{j=0}^k \lambda_{\mu,j} t^j \in \mathbb{R}[t]$$

This is the unique polynomial of degree k such that $\Lambda_\mu(\nu) = \delta_{\mu,\nu}$ for $\nu \in F$. The coefficients $\lambda_{\mu,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ depend only on k and F and are therefore considered fixed for the rest of the proof.

Now, suppose that a function $g: F \longrightarrow Z$ from the finite set F into the normed space Z is given. Then there is a unique polynomial $\tilde{g}: \mathbb{K} \longrightarrow Z$ such that $\tilde{g}|_F = g$. This polynomial is given by:

$$\tilde{g}(t) := \sum_{\mu \in F} g(\mu) \cdot \Lambda_\mu(t) = \sum_{j=0}^k \left(\sum_{\mu \in F} g(\mu) \cdot \lambda_{\mu,j} \right) t^j$$

We may estimate the norm of the j -th coefficient of $\tilde{g}$:

$$\left\| \sum_{\mu \in F} g(\mu) \cdot \lambda_{\mu,j} \right\|_Z \leq \sum_{\mu \in F} |\lambda_{\mu,j}| \|g\|_\infty.$$

Now, we consider a continuous polynomial $\gamma = \sum_{j=0}^k \gamma_j: X \longrightarrow Z$, where each γ_j is a continuous j -homogeneous polynomial. Let $v \in B_1^X(0)$. Then $\gamma_{j_0}(v)$ is the j_0 -th coefficient of the polynomial

$$g_v(t) := \gamma(tv) = \sum_{j=0}^k \gamma_j(v) t^j$$

and we may estimate its norm by:

$$\|\gamma_{j_0}(v)\|_Z \leq \sum_{\mu \in F} |\lambda_{\mu,j}| \|g_v\|_\infty \leq \sum_{\mu \in F} |\lambda_{\mu,j}| \|g|_{B_1^X(0)}\|_\infty.$$

Since $v \in B_1^X(0)$ was arbitrary, this shows

$$\|\gamma_j|_{B_1^X(0)}\|_\infty \leq \left(\sum_{\mu \in F} |\lambda_{\mu,j}| \right) \|\gamma|_{B_1^X(0)}\|_\infty$$

which finishes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 1.5 (Taylor's Formula). *Let X and Z be normed spaces over $\mathbb{K}$ and let Ω be an open convex subset of X and $x_0 \in X$. Assume $\gamma: \Omega \longrightarrow Z$ is FC^k with $k \geq 1$. Then we have for all $v \in X$ such that $x_0 + v \in \Omega$:*

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad \gamma(x_0 + v) &= \sum_{j \leq k-1} \frac{\gamma^{(j)}(x_0)(v, \dots, v)}{j!} \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \gamma^{(k)}(x_0 + tv)(v, \dots, v) dt. \\ (b) \quad \gamma(x_0 + v) &= \sum_{j \leq k} \frac{\gamma^{(j)}(x_0)(v, \dots, v)}{j!} \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \left(\gamma^{(k)}(x_0 + tv) - \gamma^{(k)}(x_0) \right) (v, \dots, v) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By setting $h:]-r, r[\longrightarrow Z: s \mapsto \gamma(x_0 + sv)$ and using continuous linear functionals on F , we can reduce (a) to the classical formula where X and Z are one-dimensional.

If we split the difference $(\gamma^{(k)}(x_0 + tv) - \gamma^{(k)}(x_0))$ in the integral on the right hand side of (b) into two integrals and simplify the expression, it is easy to see that (b) follows from (a). $\square$

2 Spaces of Hölder-Continuous Functions

Throughout this section, let Ω be a convex bounded open subset of a *real* Banach space X .

Definition 2.1 (Hölder-Spaces). Let Z be a Banach space over the field $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$.

- (a) We set $\text{BC}^{0,0}(\Omega, Z) := \text{BC}(\Omega, Z)$ to be vector space of all bounded continuous Z -valued functions on the set Ω . It will always be endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{(0,0)} := p_{(0,0)}(\cdot) := \|\cdot\|_\infty$.
- (b) For a real number $s \in]0, 1]$, we set

$$\text{BC}^{0,s}(\Omega, Z) := \left\{ \gamma : \Omega \longrightarrow Z : p_{(0,s)}(\gamma) := \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \Omega \\ x \neq y}} \frac{\|\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)\|_Z}{\|x - y\|_X^s} < \infty \right\}.$$

From this definition follows at once that every $\gamma \in \text{BC}^{0,s}(\Omega, Z)$ is uniformly continuous and bounded. We endow this vector space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{(0,s)} := \|\cdot\|_\infty + p_{(0,s)}(\cdot)$.

- (c) Recursively, we may define

$$\text{BC}^{k+1,s}(\Omega, Z) := \left\{ \gamma \in \text{FC}^1(\Omega, Z) : \gamma' \in \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(X, Z)) \right\}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. We endow this vector space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{(k+1,s)} := \|\cdot\|_\infty + p_{(k+1,s)}(\cdot)$ which is defined as

$$p_{(k+1,s)}(\gamma) := p_{(k,s)}(\gamma').$$

2.1 Inclusion Mappings

In this subsection, we will show that the inclusion operators between these spaces are continuous (Proposition 2.5).

We begin with the following special case where the inclusion operator behaves very nicely:

Proposition 2.2. *For every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the vector space $\text{BC}^{k+1,0}(\Omega, Z)$ is a vector subspace of $\text{BC}^{k,1}(\Omega, Z)$ and the inclusion map is an isometric embedding.*

Proof. Since for $(k, s) \neq (0, 0)$ the norm $\|\cdot\|_{(k,s)}$ is the sum of the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -norm and the $p_{(k,s)}(\cdot)$ -seminorm, it suffices to show that for every $\gamma \in \text{BC}^{k+1,0}(\Omega, Z)$ the seminorms are equal:

$$p_{(k,1)}(\gamma) = p_{(k+1,0)}(\gamma).$$

It suffices to show this for $k = 0$. The rest follows immediately by induction on k . Let $\gamma \in \text{BC}^{1,0}(\Omega, Z)$ be given. By definition of the Hölder-spaces, this means γ is continuously differentiable with bounded Fréchet-derivative. Now, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)\|_Z &= \left\| \int_0^1 \gamma'(tx + (1-t)y)(x-y) dt \right\|_Z \\ &\leq \|\gamma'\|_\infty \|x - y\|_X \\ &= p_{(1,0)}(\gamma) \|x - y\|_X \end{aligned}$$

This yields:

$$p_{(0,1)}(\gamma) \leq p_{(1,0)}(\gamma).$$

But conversely: Let $x_0 \in \Omega, v \in X$ with $\|v\|_Z = 1$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ (small enough) be given. Then we may estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{t} (\gamma(x+tv) - \gamma(x)) \right\|_Z &= \frac{1}{|t|} \|\gamma(x+tv) - \gamma(x)\|_Z \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|t|} \cdot p_{(0,1)}(\gamma) \|x+tv - x\|_Z \\ &= p_{(0,1)}(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Now, as t tends to zero, the left hand side converges to $\gamma'(x).v$. Since v was arbitrary with norm 1, this yields $\|\gamma'(x)\|_{\text{op}} \leq p_{(0,1)}(\gamma)$ and since x was arbitrary, we finally obtain:

$$p_{(1,0)}(\gamma) \leq p_{(0,1)}(\gamma).$$

Therefore the seminorms are equal and this finishes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 2.3. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let $0 < s_1 < s_2 \leq 1$. Then the vector space $\text{BC}^{k,s_2}(\Omega, Z)$ is a vector subspace of $\text{BC}^{k,s_1}(\Omega, Z)$ and the inclusion map is continuous with operator norm at most $\max\{1, (\text{diam}\Omega)^{s_2-s_1}\}$.*

Proof. Once again, it suffices to show this for $k = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)\|_Z}{\|x - y\|_X^{s_1}} &= \frac{\|\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)\|_Z}{\|x - y\|_X^{s_2}} \cdot \|x - y\|_X^{s_2-s_1} \\ &\leq p_{(0,s_2)}(\gamma) \cdot (\text{diam}\Omega)^{s_2-s_1}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$p_{(0,s_1)}(\cdot) \leq (\text{diam}\Omega)^{s_2-s_1} \cdot p_{(0,s_2)}(\cdot).$$

The corresponding inequality for $\|\cdot\|_{(0,s_2)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{(0,s_1)}$ follows immediately. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(k, s) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times]0, 1]$ and $x_0 \in \Omega$ be fixed.*

(a) *The linear operator*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, Z) &\longrightarrow \left(\text{Sym}^k(X, Z), \|\cdot\|_{\text{op}} \right) \\ \gamma &\longmapsto \gamma^{(k)}(x_0) \end{aligned}$$

is continuous.

(b) *The linear operator*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, Z) &\longrightarrow \text{BC}^{k,0}(\Omega, Z) \\ \gamma &\longmapsto \gamma \end{aligned}$$

is continuous.

The operator norms of these operators may be bounded by constants depending on k, Ω and x_0 , but not on Z or s .

Proof. For $k = 0$ both, (a) and (b) are trivial. So, we may assume $k \geq 1$.

Before we show (a), we show how (b) follows from (a):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\gamma\|_{(k,0)} &= \|\gamma\|_\infty + p_{(k,0)}(\gamma) \\
 &\leq \|\gamma\|_\infty + \left\| \gamma^{(k)} \right\|_\infty \\
 &= \|\gamma\|_\infty + \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left\| \gamma^{(k)}(x) \right\|_{\text{op}} \\
 &\leq \|\gamma\|_\infty + \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left\| \gamma^{(k)}(x) - \gamma^{(k)}(x_0) \right\|_{\text{op}} + \left\| \gamma^{(k)}(x_0) \right\|_{\text{op}} \\
 &\leq \|\gamma\|_\infty + p_{(0,s)}(\gamma^{(k)}) \cdot (\text{diam} \Omega)^s + \left\| \gamma^{(k)}(x_0) \right\|_{\text{op}} \\
 &\leq \|\gamma\|_\infty + (\text{diam} \Omega) \cdot p_{(k,s)}(\gamma) + \left\| \gamma^{(k)}(x_0) \right\|_{\text{op}}
 \end{aligned}$$

The first two summands are obviously continuous with respect to $\|\gamma\|_{(k,s)}$ and the continuity of the third summand follows from part (a).

Now we prove (a): Since Ω is open, there is a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\overline{B_{\varepsilon_0}^X(x_0)} \subseteq \Omega$. Let $v \in X$ be a vector with $\|v\|_X \leq 1$. Since $\gamma \in \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, Z)$, it is in particular FC^k and therefore we may use Taylor's formula (Proposition 1.5 (b)) and obtain:

$$\gamma(x_0 + \varepsilon_0 v) = T_{x_0}^k \gamma(\varepsilon_0 v) + R\gamma(\varepsilon_0 v) \quad (*)$$

with

$$T_{x_0}^k \gamma(\varepsilon_0 v) = \sum_{j \leq k} \frac{\gamma^{(j)}(x_0)(v, \dots, v) \varepsilon_0^j}{j!}$$

and

$$R\gamma(\varepsilon_0 v) = \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \left(\gamma^{(k)}(x_0 + t\varepsilon_0 v) - \gamma^{(k)}(x_0) \right) (v, \dots, v) \varepsilon_0^k dt.$$

First, we will look at the remainder part $R\gamma(\varepsilon_0 v)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|R\gamma(\varepsilon_0 v)\|_Z &= \left\| \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \left(\gamma^{(k)}(x_0 + t\varepsilon_0 v) - \gamma^{(k)}(x_0) \right) (v, \dots, v) \varepsilon_0^k dt \right\|_Z \\
 &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \left\| \gamma^{(k)}(x_0 + t\varepsilon_0 v) - \gamma^{(k)}(x_0) \right\|_{\text{op}} \|v\|_X^k \varepsilon_0^k dt \\
 &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(k-1)!} p_{(k,s)}(\gamma) \cdot \|t\varepsilon_0 v\|_X^s \varepsilon_0^k dt \\
 &\leq \underbrace{\frac{\varepsilon_0^{k+1}}{(k-1)!}}_{=: C_1} \|\gamma\|_{(k,s)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the remainder term is bounded above by a constant (depending only on k, Ω and x_0) times $\|\gamma\|_{(k,s)}$.

Now, we estimate the norm of the Taylor-polynomial:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| T_{x_0}^k \gamma(\varepsilon_0 v) \right\|_Z &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \left\| \gamma(x_0 + \varepsilon_0 v) - R\gamma(\varepsilon_0 v) \right\|_Z \\
 &\leq \left\| \gamma(x_0 + \varepsilon_0 v) \right\|_Z + \left\| R\gamma(\varepsilon_0 v) \right\|_Z \\
 &\leq \underbrace{\left\| \gamma \right\|_\infty}_{\leq \left\| \gamma \right\|_{(k,s)}} + C_1 \left\| \gamma \right\|_{(k,s)} \\
 &\leq C_2 \left\| \gamma \right\|_{(k,s)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $v \in \overline{B_1^X(0)}$ was arbitrary, this shows that the sup norm of the Taylor polynomial on the closed unit ball is bounded by a constant times $\left\| \gamma \right\|_{(k,s)}$. By Proposition 1.4 the norm of every homogeneous part is bounded above by the norm of the polynomial:

$$\left\| \frac{\gamma^{(j)}(x_0)(\cdot)\varepsilon_0^j}{j!} \right\|_{\text{op}} \leq C_3 \left\| \gamma \right\|_{(k,s)}.$$

As we saw in Proposition 1.4, this constant does only depend on j and k .

In particular, we have for the case $j = k$:

$$\left\| \gamma^{(k)}(x_0) \right\|_{\text{op}} \leq C_4 \left\| \gamma \right\|_{(k,s)}$$

which is what we had to show. $\square$

Proposition 2.5. *Let $(k, s), (l, t) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times [0, 1]$ be given. Assume $k + s < l + t$. Then*

$$\text{BC}^{l,t}(\Omega, Z) \subseteq \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, Z)$$

and the inclusion map is a continuous operator whose norm can be bounded above by a constant depending only on l, X and Ω .

Proof. This is a immediate consequence of Proposition 2.2, Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 (b). $\square$

2.2 Completeness of the Hölder-Spaces

Lemma 2.6. *Let $s \in [0, 1]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be given. Then the map*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \kappa : \text{BC}^{k+1,s}(\Omega, Z) &\longrightarrow \text{BC}^{0,0}(\Omega, Z) \times \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(X, Z)) \\
 \gamma &\longmapsto (\gamma, \gamma')
 \end{aligned}$$

is a topological embedding.

Proof. The map κ is clearly linear and injective. We show the continuity of κ with the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \kappa(\gamma) \right\| &= \left\| \gamma \right\|_\infty + \left\| \gamma' \right\|_{(k,s)} = \left\| \gamma \right\|_\infty + p_{(k,s)}(\gamma') + \left\| \gamma' \right\|_\infty \\
 &\leq \left\| \gamma \right\|_{(k+1,s)} + \left\| \gamma \right\|_{(1,0)}
 \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.5, $\|\cdot\|_{(1,0)}$ is continuous with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{(k+1,s)}$. This implies the continuity of κ .

On the other hand, $\|\gamma\|_{(k+1,s)} = \|\gamma\|_\infty + p_{(k,s)}(\gamma') \leq \|\gamma\|_\infty + \|\gamma'\|_{(k,s)} = \|\kappa(\gamma)\|$. Hence, κ is a topological embedding. $\square$

Proposition 2.7. *Let $s \in [0, 1]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be given. Then the normed space $(\text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, Z), \|\cdot\|_{(k,s)})$ is complete, hence a Banach space.*

Proof. For $(k, s) = (0, 0)$, this is well known. Therefore, let $k = 0$ and $s \in]0, 1]$. For every $\gamma \in \text{BC}(\Omega, Z)$, define

$$R\gamma : U_\Omega \longrightarrow Z : (x, y) \mapsto \frac{\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)}{\|x - y\|_X^s}$$

Here, $U_\Omega := \{(x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : x \neq y\}$ denotes the complement of the diagonal in $\Omega \times \Omega$.

Now, it is clear that $\text{BC}^{0,s}(\Omega, Z) := \{\gamma \in \text{BC}(\Omega, Z) : R\gamma \in \text{BC}(U_\Omega, Z)\}$ and that

$$\begin{aligned} \iota : \text{BC}^{0,s}(\Omega, Z) &\longrightarrow \text{BC}(\Omega, Z) \times \text{BC}(U_\Omega, Z) \\ \gamma &\longmapsto (\gamma, R\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

is an isometric embedding. Therefore it remains to show that the image of ι is closed in the product of the two Banach spaces $\text{BC}(\Omega, Z) \times \text{BC}(U_\Omega, Z)$.

Now, let (γ, η) be in the closure of the image of ι . This implies that there is a sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the space $\text{BC}^{0,s}(\Omega, Z)$ such that $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to $\gamma \in \text{BC}(\Omega, Z)$ and that $(R\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to $\eta \in \text{BC}(U_\Omega, Z)$. In particular, we have pointwise convergence, hence the following holds for all $(x, y) \in U_\Omega$:

$$\eta(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_n(x) - \gamma_n(y)}{\|x - y\|_X^s}$$

But the right hand side converges pointwise to $\frac{\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)}{\|x - y\|_X^s}$ since $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to γ . Therefore $\eta = R\gamma$ and therefore the image of ι is closed and $\text{BC}^{0,s}(\Omega, Z)$ is a Banach space.

Now, we will show the claim for $(k + 1, s)$ and by an induction argument, we may assume that it holds for $(k, s) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times [0, 1]$. We will use the topological embedding from Lemma 2.6:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa : \text{BC}^{k+1,s}(\Omega, Z) &\longrightarrow \text{BC}(\Omega, Z) \times \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(X, Z)) \\ \gamma &\longmapsto (\gamma, \gamma') \end{aligned}$$

So, again it suffices to show that the image of κ is closed in the Banach space $\text{BC}(\Omega, Z) \times \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(X, Z))$ which by induction hypothesis is a product of two Banach spaces.

Now, let (γ, η) be in the closure of the image of κ . This implies that there is a sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the space $\text{BC}^{k+1,s}(\Omega, Z)$ such that $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to γ

in $\text{BC}(\Omega, Z)$ and that $(\gamma'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\eta \in \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(X, Z))$. We have to show that $\gamma \in \text{BC}^{k+1,s}(\Omega, Z)$ and that $\gamma' = \eta$.

Therefore let $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $v \in X$ be given. Since Ω is convex, we can write the difference quotient of γ_n at point $x_0 \in \Omega$ in direction $v \in X$ as:

$$\frac{1}{t} (\gamma_n(x_0 + tv) - \gamma_n(x_0)) = \int_0^1 \gamma'_n(x_0 + stv) \cdot v ds$$

if $|t|$ is small enough. Now, we take the pointwise limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and obtain:

$$\frac{1}{t} (\gamma(x_0 + tv) - \gamma(x_0)) = \int_0^1 \eta(x_0 + stv) \cdot v ds$$

For the convergence of the integral, we use that $\|\gamma'_n - \eta\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$.

If we let now t tend to 0, then the right hand side converges to $\eta(x_0) \cdot v$. So, we have shown that the directional derivative of γ at point x_0 in direction v exists and is equal to $\eta(x_0) \cdot v$. Since $v \in X$ was arbitrary, all directional derivatives exist and we have just seen that the map

$$d\gamma: \Omega \times X \longrightarrow Z : (x, v) \mapsto \eta(x) \cdot v$$

is continuous, therefore γ is C^1 the Michal-Bastiani sense. But since

$$\gamma'(x) = d\gamma(x, \cdot) = \eta(x)$$

and $\eta: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Z)$ is continuous by hypothesis, we can apply Lemma 1.3 and obtain that γ is FC^1 . Since $\gamma' = \eta \in \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(X, Z))$, this implies that $\gamma \in \text{BC}^{k+1,s}(\Omega, Z)$ which finishes the proof. $\square$

2.3 Products of Hölder-Continuous Functions

Theorem 2.8 (Products of Hölder-Continuous Functions). *We assume that $\text{diam}\Omega \leq 1$. Let $\bullet: Z_1 \times Z_2 \longrightarrow Z$ be a continuous bilinear map. We define the pointwise product of two functions $\gamma_1 \in \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, Z_1)$ and $\gamma_2 \in \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, Z_2)$ as*

$$\gamma_1 \bullet \gamma_2: \Omega \longrightarrow Z : x \mapsto \gamma_1(x) \bullet \gamma_2(x).$$

Then the product is again in $\text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, Z)$ and we have the following formula:

$$\|\gamma_1 \bullet \gamma_2\|_{(k,s)} \leq C_k \cdot \|\bullet\|_{\text{op}} \cdot \|\gamma_1\|_{(k,s)} \|\gamma_2\|_{(k,s)}$$

Here, the $C_k > 0$ is a constant, depending only on k , but not on s or on $\bullet$. This will be important later on.

Proof. By replacing the continuous bilinear map $\bullet$ by its multiple $\frac{1}{\|\bullet\|_{\text{op}}} \bullet$, we may assume that $\|\bullet\|_{\text{op}} = 1$.

The claim is trivial for $(k, s) = (0, 0)$. The case $k = 0$ and $s \in]0, 1]$ is done in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_1 \bullet \gamma_2(x) - \gamma_1 \bullet \gamma_2(y)\|_Z &\leq \|\gamma_1(x) \bullet \gamma_2(x) - \gamma_1(x) \bullet \gamma_2(y)\|_Z \\ &\quad + \|\gamma_1(x) \bullet \gamma_2(y) - \gamma_1(y) \bullet \gamma_2(y)\|_Z \\ &\leq \|\gamma_1(x)\|_Z \|\gamma_2(x) - \gamma_2(y)\|_Z \\ &\quad + \|\gamma_1(x) - \gamma_1(y)\|_Z \|\gamma_2(y)\|_Z \\ &\leq (\|\gamma_1\|_\infty p_{(0,s)}(\gamma_2) + p_{(0,s)}(\gamma_1) \|\gamma_2\|_\infty) \|x - y\|_X^s. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$p_{(0,s)}(\gamma_1 \bullet \gamma_2) \leq \|\gamma_1\|_\infty p_{(0,s)}(\gamma_2) + p_{(0,s)}(\gamma_1) \|\gamma_2\|_\infty$$

Now we add the inequality $\|\gamma_1 \bullet \gamma_2\|_\infty \leq \|\gamma_1\|_\infty \|\gamma_2\|_\infty$ on both sides:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_1 \bullet \gamma_2\|_{(0,s)} &\leq \underbrace{\|\gamma_1\|_\infty p_{(0,s)}(\gamma_2)}_{\leq \|\gamma_1\|_{(0,s)} \|\gamma_2\|_{(0,s)}} + \underbrace{p_{(0,s)}(\gamma_1) \|\gamma_2\|_\infty + \|\gamma_1\|_\infty \|\gamma_2\|_\infty}_{=\|\gamma_1\|_{(0,s)} \|\gamma_2\|_{(0,s)}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim for $k = 0$ and $s \in [0, 1]$ for the constant $C_0 := 2$. Now assume the claim holds for k . We will show it for $k + 1$.

Therefore, we are given $\gamma_1 \in \text{BC}^{k+1,s}(\Omega, Z_1)$ and $\gamma_2 \in \text{BC}^{k+1,s}(\Omega, Z_2)$. By definition, this means that γ_1 and γ_2 are FC^1 and

$$\gamma'_1 \in \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(X, Z_1)) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma'_2 \in \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(X, Z_2)).$$

Now we define the following bilinear operators:

$$\begin{aligned} *_1 : Z_1 \times \mathcal{L}(X, Z_2) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Z) \\ (z, T) &\longmapsto (x \mapsto z \bullet (Tx)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} *_2 : \mathcal{L}(X, Z_1) \times Z_2 &\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Z) \\ (T, z) &\longmapsto (x \mapsto (Tx) \bullet z). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that $\|*_1\|_{\text{op}}, \|*_2\|_{\text{op}} \leq 1$. Therefore, we may use the induction hypothesis and obtain that $\gamma_1 *_1 \gamma'_2$ and $\gamma'_1 *_2 \gamma_2$ belong to $\text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(X, Z))$ and we have the following estimates:

$$\|\gamma_1 *_1 \gamma'_2\|_{(k,s)} \leq C_k \|\gamma_1\|_{(k,s)} \|\gamma'_2\|_{(k,s)}$$

and

$$\|\gamma'_1 *_2 \gamma_2\|_{(k,s)} \leq C_k \|\gamma'_1\|_{(k,s)} \|\gamma_2\|_{(k,s)}$$

By the product rule for Fréchet-derivatives, we know that

$$(\gamma_1 \bullet \gamma_2)' = \gamma_1 *_1 \gamma'_2 + \gamma'_1 *_2 \gamma_2.$$

And hence $(\gamma_1 \bullet \gamma_2)' \in \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(X, Z))$ which implies $\gamma_1 \bullet \gamma_2 \in \text{BC}^{k+1,s}(\Omega, Z)$.

It remains to show the norm estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{(k+1,s)}(\gamma_1 \bullet \gamma_2) &= p_{(k,s)}((\gamma_1 \bullet \gamma_2)') \leq p_{(k,s)}(\gamma_1 *_1 \gamma'_2) + p_{(k,s)}(\gamma'_1 *_2 \gamma_2) \\ &\leq \|\gamma_1 *_1 \gamma'_2\|_{(k,s)} + \|\gamma'_1 *_2 \gamma_2\|_{(k,s)} \\ &\leq C_k \left(\|\gamma_1\|_{(k,s)} \|\gamma'_2\|_{(k,s)} + \|\gamma'_1\|_{(k,s)} \|\gamma_2\|_{(k,s)} \right) \\ &\leq C_k \left(\|\gamma_1\|_{(k,s)} 2p_{(k+1,s)}(\gamma_2) + 2p_{(k+1,s)}(\gamma_1) \|\gamma_2\|_{(k,s)} \right) \\ &\leq C_k \left(D_k \|\gamma_1\|_{(k+1,s)} 2\|\gamma_2\|_{(k+1,s)} + 2\|\gamma_1\|_{(k+1,s)} \|\gamma_2\|_{(k+1,s)} \right) \\ &= \underbrace{(2D_k + 2)C_k}_{C_{k+1}:=} \|\gamma_1\|_{(k+1,s)} \|\gamma_2\|_{(k+1,s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here D_k is an upper bound for the norm of the inclusion $\text{BC}^{k+1,s}(\Omega, Z) \longrightarrow \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, Z)$, independent of Z and s , which exists by 2.5. This finishes the proof. $\square$

2.4 Directed Unions of Hölder-Spaces

From now on, we will assume that $\text{diam}\Omega \leq 1$. By Proposition 2.3, this implies that for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $0 < s_1 < s_2 \leq 1$ the inclusion map

$$\text{BC}^{k,s_2}(\Omega, Z) \longrightarrow \text{BC}^{k,s_1}(\Omega, Z)$$

is continuous with operator norm at most 1.

Proposition 2.9 (Logarithmic Convexity Property for $k = 0$).

(a) Let $0 < s < u \leq 1$. Assume $\gamma \in \text{BC}^{0,u}(\Omega, Z)$ and let $\lambda \in]0, 1[$. Then we have

$$p_{(0,\lambda s+(1-\lambda)u)}(\gamma) \leq (p_{(0,s)}(\gamma))^\lambda \cdot (p_{(0,u)}(\gamma))^{1-\lambda}.$$

(b) Let $0 \leq s < u \leq 1$. Assume $\gamma \in \text{BC}^{0,u}(\Omega, Z)$ and let $\lambda \in]0, 1[$. Then we have

$$\|\gamma\|_{(0,\lambda s+(1-\lambda)u)} \leq 2 \left(\|\gamma\|_{(0,s)} \right)^\lambda \cdot \left(\|\gamma\|_{(0,u)} \right)^{1-\lambda}.$$

Proof. (a) We may estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)\|_Z}{\|x - y\|_X^{\lambda s+(1-\lambda)u}} &= \frac{\|\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)\|_Z^\lambda \cdot \|\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)\|_Z^{1-\lambda}}{\|x - y\|_X^{\lambda s} \cdot \|x - y\|_X^{(1-\lambda)u}} \\ &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{\|\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)\|_Z}{\|x - y\|_X^s} \right)^\lambda}_{\leq p_{(0,s)}(\gamma)} \cdot \underbrace{\left(\frac{\|\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)\|_Z}{\|x - y\|_X^u} \right)^{1-\lambda}}_{\leq p_{(0,u)}(\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows (a).

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma\|_{(0,\lambda s+(1-\lambda)u)} &= \|\gamma\|_\infty + p_{(0,\lambda s+(1-\lambda)u)}(\gamma) \\ &\leq \|\gamma\|_\infty^\lambda \cdot \|\gamma\|_\infty^{1-\lambda} + (p_{(0,s)}(\gamma))^\lambda \cdot (p_{(0,u)}(\gamma))^{1-\lambda} \\ &\leq \|\gamma\|_{(0,s)}^\lambda \cdot \|\gamma\|_{(0,u)}^{1-\lambda} + \|\gamma\|_{(0,s)}^\lambda \cdot \|\gamma\|_{(0,u)}^{1-\lambda}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.10. Let $(k, s_0) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times [0, 1[$ be given. Then the direct limit space

$$\text{BC}^{k,>s_0}(\Omega, Z) := \bigcup_{t \in]s_0, 1]} \text{BC}^{k,t}(\Omega, Z)$$

is Hausdorff and compactly regular.

Proof. Since for every $t > s_0$ the inclusion map

$$\text{BC}^{k,t}(\Omega, Z) \longrightarrow \text{BC}^{k,s_0}(\Omega, Z) : \gamma \mapsto \gamma$$

is continuous, it follows from the direct limit property that the inclusion map from the direct limit space into the Banach space

$$\mathrm{BC}^{k,>s_0}(\Omega, Z) \longrightarrow \mathrm{BC}^{k,s_0}(\Omega, Z) : \gamma \mapsto \gamma$$

is also continuous. Since it is injective, we know that $\mathrm{BC}^{k,>s}(\Omega, Z)$ is Hausdorff.

We will show compact regularity using Proposition 1.10 in [2]. Hence, it suffices to show that for every $u > s_0$ there is a $t \in]s_0, u[$ such that every space $\mathrm{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, Z)$ with $s \in]s_0, t]$ induces the same topology on the set $B := B_1^{\mathrm{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, Z)}(0)$.

Therefore, let $u > s_0$ be given. We may chose $t \in]s_0, u[$ arbitrarily. Once again, let $s \in]s_0, t[$. Since t lies between s and u , we may write $t = \lambda s + (1 - \lambda)u$. Now, we apply Proposition 2.9(a) to $\gamma^{(k)}$ and obtain for every $\gamma \in B$

$$p_{(0,t)}(\gamma^{(k)}) \leq \left(p_{(0,s)}(\gamma^{(k)}) \right)^\lambda \cdot \underbrace{\left(p_{(0,u)}(\gamma^{(k)}) \right)^{1-\lambda}}_{\leq 1}.$$

This inequality shows that the identity from $B \subseteq \mathrm{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, Z)$ to $B \subseteq \mathrm{BC}^{k,t}(\Omega, Z)$ is continuous. Since the continuity of the inverse map is trivial, we have shown that the topologies coincide. $\square$

3 Lie groups associated to Hölder-continuous functions

In the following, let G be an analytic Banach-Lie group over $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

Like before, $\Omega \subseteq X$ is an open bounded convex subset of a real Banach space X with $\mathrm{diam} \Omega \leq 1$. Let $(k, s) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times [0, 1]$ be fixed. We may define a pointwise Lie bracket on the function space $\mathrm{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})$ and by Theorem 2.8, this bracket is continuous with operator norm at most C_k . Throughout this section, C_k will always denote these constants introduced in Theorem 2.8. Note that they do not depend on the space $\mathfrak{g}$.

Now we can compose each $\gamma \in \mathrm{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})$ with the exponential function and obtain the following map:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Exp}_{(k,s)} : \mathrm{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g}) &\longrightarrow C(\Omega, G) \\ \gamma &\longmapsto \exp_G \circ \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1 (Lie groups associated with Hölder-continuous functions (Banach case)). *Let $(k, s) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times [0, 1]$ and a Banach-Lie group G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ be given. Then there exists a unique Banach-Lie group structure on the group*

$$\mathrm{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, G) := \left\langle \left\{ \exp_G \circ \gamma : \gamma \in \mathrm{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g}) \right\} \right\rangle \leq C(\Omega, G)$$

such that

$$\mathrm{Exp}_{(k,s)} : \mathrm{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, G) : \gamma \mapsto \exp_G \circ \gamma.$$

becomes a local diffeomorphism around 0.

Proof. We start by choosing a compatible norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ with the additional property that

$$\|[x, y]\|_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq \frac{1}{C_k} \|x\|_{\mathfrak{g}} \|y\|_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. This means that $\|[\cdot, \cdot]\|_{\text{op}} \leq \frac{1}{C_k}$. Then the space $\text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})$ carries a continuous Lie bracket of operator norm at most 1, due to Theorem 2.8:

$$[\cdot, \cdot]: \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g}) \times \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})$$

turning it into a Banach-Lie algebra. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ becomes a closed Lie subalgebra of $\text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})$ by identifying elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ with constant functions. Now, having transferred the Banach-Lie algebra structure from $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})$, we would like to do the same with the group structure.

It is known that (see e.g. [1, Chapter II, §7.2, Proposition 1]) in a Banach-Lie algebra with compatible norm, the *BCH*-series converges on

$$U_{\mathfrak{g}} := \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} : \|x\| + \|y\| < \log 2\}$$

and defines an analytic multiplication: $*$: $U_{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. Since $\text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})$ is a Banach-Lie algebra in its own right, we also have a *BCH*-multiplication there: $*$: $U_{\text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})} \longrightarrow \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})$. The *BCH*-series is defined only in terms of iterated Lie brackets. Since addition and Lie bracket of elements in $\text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})$ correspond to the pointwise operations in $\mathfrak{g}$, the *BCH*-multiplication in $\text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})$ corresponds to the pointwise *BCH*-multiplication of functions.

Since G is a Banach-Lie group, it is locally exponential, therefore there is a number $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\exp_G|_{B_{\varepsilon_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(0)}$ is injective. Since the *BCH*-multiplication on $\mathfrak{g}$ is continuous, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $B_{\delta}^{\mathfrak{g}}(0) \times B_{\delta}^{\mathfrak{g}}(0) \subseteq U_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $B_{\delta}^{\mathfrak{g}}(0) * B_{\delta}^{\mathfrak{g}}(0) \subseteq B_{\varepsilon_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(0)$.

Let $C(\Omega, G)$ be the (abstract) group of all continuous maps from Ω to G with pointwise multiplication. Then we may define the following map

$$\text{Exp}_{(k,s)}: \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow C(\Omega, G) : \gamma \mapsto \exp_G \circ \gamma.$$

The restriction of $\text{Exp}_{(k,s)}$ to $B_{\varepsilon_0}^{\text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})}(0)$ is injective since $\exp_G|_{B_{\varepsilon_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(0)}$ is injective.

Now, all hypotheses for Corollary 1.8 in [2] are satisfied for $U := B_{\delta}^{\text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})}(0)$, $V := B_{\varepsilon_0}^{\text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})}(0)$ and $H := C(\Omega, G)$. Therefore, by Corollary 1.8 in [2], we get a unique C^{ω} -Lie group structure on the group $\langle \text{Exp}_{(k,s)}(U) \rangle$ such that

$$\text{Exp}_{(k,s)}|_U: U \subseteq \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \langle \text{Exp}_{(k,s)}(U) \rangle$$

is a C^{ω} -diffeomorphism.

But this group, that now has a Lie group structure, is exactly the group $\text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, G) := \langle \{\exp_G \circ \gamma : \gamma \in \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})\} \rangle$ defined above. This is the case because for every generator $\exp_G \circ \gamma$ with $\gamma \in \text{BC}^{k,s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})$ there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n}\gamma \in U$ and therefore

$$\exp_G \circ \gamma = \exp_G \circ \left(n \cdot \frac{1}{n} \gamma \right) = \left(\exp_G \circ \left(\frac{1}{n} \gamma \right) \right)^n \in \langle \text{Exp}_{(k,s)}(U) \rangle. \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.2 (Lie groups associated with Hölder-continuous functions ((LB) case)). *Let $(k, s) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times [0, 1[$ be given. Then there exists a unique Lie group structure on the group*

$$\mathrm{BC}^{k,>s}(\Omega, G) := \bigcup_{t \in]s, 1]} \mathrm{BC}^{k,t}(\Omega, G)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Exp}_{(k,>s)} &:= \bigcup_{t \in]s, 1]} \mathrm{Exp}_{(k,s)} : \mathrm{BC}^{k,>s}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{BC}^{k,>s}(\Omega, G) \\ \gamma &\longmapsto \exp_G \circ \gamma \end{aligned}$$

is a local diffeomorphism around 0.

Proof. We wish to use Theorem C in [2]. Let $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a strictly decreasing cofinal sequence in $]s, 1]$, e. g. $t_n := s + (1 - s) \cdot \frac{1}{n}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $G_n := \mathrm{BC}^{k,t_n}(\Omega, G)$. The bonding maps $j_n : G_n \longrightarrow G_{n+1}$ are group homomorphisms. Since $j_n \circ \mathrm{Exp}_{(k,t_n)} = \mathrm{Exp}_{(k,t_{n+1})} \circ i_n$ with the continuous linear inclusion map $i_n : \mathrm{BC}^{k,t_n}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{BC}^{k,t_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})$, we see that each j_n is analytic with $\mathbf{L}(j_n) = i_n$.

Like in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we choose the norm on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$\|[x, y]\|_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq \frac{1}{C_k} \|x\|_{\mathfrak{g}} \|y\|_{\mathfrak{g}} \text{ for } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Note that this is possible because $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is fixed and the C_k do not depend on s . This implies that the Lie brackets on the Lie algebras $\mathrm{BC}^{k,t_n}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})$ and the bounded operators $i_n : \mathrm{BC}^{k,t_n}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{BC}^{k,t_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})$ have operator norm at most 1.

The locally convex direct limit is Hausdorff by Proposition 2.10, and the exponential map $\mathrm{Exp} = \bigcup_{t \in]s, 1]} \mathrm{Exp}_{(k,t)}$ is injective on the 0-neighborhood $\bigcup_{t \in]s, 1]} \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon_0}^{\mathrm{BC}^{k,t}(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})}(0)$. Hence, by Theorem C of [2], there is a unique complex analytic Lie group structure on G such that Exp is a local diffeomorphism at 0. $\square$

References

- [1] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 1–3*. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Translated from the French, Reprint of the 1989 English translation.
- [2] Rafael Dahmen. Analytic mappings between LB-spaces and applications in infinite-dimensional Lie theory, preprint, arXiv: 0807.3655v3 [math.fa].
- [3] Helge Glöckner. Infinite-dimensional Lie groups without completeness restrictions. In *Geometry and analysis on finite- and infinite-dimensional Lie groups (Będlewo, 2000)*, volume 55 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 43–59. Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2002.
- [4] J. Milnor. Remarks on infinite-dimensional Lie groups. In *Relativity, groups and topology, II (Les Houches, 1983)*, pages 1007–1057. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.